L)J)AM30CML JL)CBJSACJ NJANL8MJ JLMUNJUNJ GEORGIAN YOUNG LAWYERS' ASSOCIATION





Identity of the Officials against whom the investigation has been launched for the violations found in the asset declarations should be made public

The Civil Service Bureau published monitoring results of asset declarations of officials on December 29, 2017, which was implemented in Georgia for the first time and is important mechanism for prevention of corruption. According to the report, the Civil Service Bureau examined completeness and correctness of the asset declarations of 287 officials during 2017, out of which 224 declarations (78%) were assessed negatively and the information about the violations revealed from monitoring asset declarations of the 7 officials was sent to the Chief Prosecutor's Office of Georgia for response.

Although the published report by the Civil Service Bureau presents a list of officials, whose declarations have been assessed negatively, the report does not include detailed information about the types of violations found in each official \square case. Besides

L)JJAMJJCM ՆԵՆԵՐԵՆ ՈՂԻՐԱՅԱՆ ՆՐՈՐԱՄԱՆ ԱՄԵՐԱՄԱՆ ԱՄԵՐԱՄԵՐԱՆԵՐ ASSOCIATION



the report does not indicate the identity of the seven officials, information on which was sent to the Chief Prosecutor \square Office due to the violations revealed from monitoring the declarations.

In January 2010, we applied to the Civil Comice Dureau and Chief Dreseauter III Office
In January 2018, we applied to the Civil Service Bureau and Chief Prosecutor \coprod Office
of Georgia to receive the above mentioned public information. The Civil Service
Bureau provided us with the information on violations found in the asset declarations
in case of the requested officials (members of the Parliament, the Minister and the
Deputy Ministers, heads of LEPLs, Judges, Prosecutors). However, the Civil Service
Bureau refused to make the names of officials sent to the Chief Prosecutor $\hfill \Box$ Office
public on the grounds, that [[[]]] of their identity may hinder ongoing
proceedings in the Prosecutor $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $
not answer to our request within the time determined by law, which is considered as a
refusal to provide information according to the General Administrative Code of
Georgia.

By hiding identity of those officials, against which investigation has been launched due to the violations revealed through the monitoring of the asset declarations, the Civil Service Bureau and the Chief Prosecutor [] Office of Georgia violates requirements of issuing public information established by General Administrative Code of Georgia. Under the Article 44 of the General Administrative Code of Georgia, protected information about officials in public institutes does not belong to personal data and is public information. Besides, presented argumentation by the Civil Service Bureau ([] hinder ongoing proceeding in the Prosecutor [] Office A[] has no grounds for refusal to issue public information under Georgian legislation. Due to all above mentioned, refusal from the Civil Service Bureau and the Chief Prosecutor [] Office of Georgia to issue the requested information is not in compliance with Georgian legislation.

GYLA considers that, by taking into account high public interest towards this issue, the Civil Service Bureau should ensure increase of transparency of monitoring system of asset declarations and annually proactively publish the following information:

- -Identity of those officials, whose asset declarations are subject to monitoring during the year;
- -Detailed information on the violations revealed in the asset declarations of each

L)J)AM3) TM ՆԵՆԵՐԵՆ ՈՂԻՐԱՑՄՆ ՆԼՊԵՐՆԵՐՆ GEORGIAN YOUNG LAWYERS' ASSOCIATION



official;

has bee	n sent to	the	relevant of	fices	(for in:	stan	ce, the C	Chief	Prose	cuto	or 🖽	Offic	e) for
further response;													
Georgian Young Lawyers Association will file a complaint in the court against the Civil													
Service	Bureau	and	Prosecutor		office	of	Georgia	in	order	to	get	this	public
informat	ion												

-Identity of those officials, about whom information revealed as a result of monitoring,